

School of Social Work

Challenging Minds, Leading Change, Transforming Lives

SW 9430 Dissertation Seminar 1 credit

Instructor: Dr. Arlene N. Weisz Office: 335 Thompson Home Telephone: 313-577-4420 Office Hours: by appointment Email: aa4495@wayne.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION

Offered for S and U grades only. Prereq: candidate status or consent of Ph.D. program director. Development, presentation and critique of dissertation research questions, in context of social work practice or social welfare policy.

COURSE COMPETENCIES FOR THIS COURSE: [These are for the doctoral program in general and should be reflected in each student's dissertation prospectus.]

Theory

Understand and apply theory for research design and social work practice

Research Methods

- Employ mastery of qualitative/quantitative and mixed methods research designs, including scientific theory supporting the design, to be ready to conduct research using these designs
- Distinguish between observational vs. intervention/evaluation research designs
- Critique the ethical issues related to all designs and their application in urban practice and communities

Data Analysis [if relevant to study methodology]

- Apply appropriate statistical tests and interpretation of results
- Appraise types of qualitative data analysis and be prepared to apply to appropriate research situations

Integration of Knowledge

- Review and synthesize research and practice literature that is relevant to a social work domain of knowledge
- Identify historical changes in practice and policy for a social work field, including the trends in the fit with social work ethics and values
- Apply relevant theoretical frameworks to a domain of knowledge in social work

TEXTS AND REQUIRED MATERIAL

Roberts, C. M. (2010). *The Dissertation journey: A practical and comprehensive guide to planning, writing, and defending your dissertation.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

WSU Dissertation Guidelines: Please download a copy of the Graduate School's *Thesis and Dissertation Format Guidelines*

http://gradschool.wayne.edu/phd-info/complete format guidelines.pdf

Other materials are available on Blackboard.

INTRODUCTION:

The primary purpose of this course is to write the dissertation prospectus. Topics include the relationship between theory and data, research design, sampling, measurement, data analysis, and dissertation policies and procedures. The seminar is designed to help participants build on and integrate their previous coursework to develop a dissertation prospectus (with feedback from professor, class and guidance from dissertation chair and committee).

Accordingly, the following knowledge and skills are assumed:

- 1. The ability to critically analyze individual research studies and to evaluate the state-of-the-literature in a particular research area.
- 2. An advanced understanding of statistics (including statistical and substantive significance and statistical power) or of qualitative research methods.
- 3. An understanding of basic research designs, sampling theory, and measurement theory.

Because students will be using a variety of research designs in their dissertation research, this course does not emphasize one design over another.

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA:

Assignments will be linked to the development of the dissertation prospectus. Students are expected to produce a draft of each section of the prospectus by the dates listed below (before each session so the professor and class members can review the drafts before class). Achieving a "satisfactory" grade for this course means the student has completed a prospectus that satisfies course requirements. It does not mean that the prospectus is approved by the student's dissertation committee.

GRADING AND ASSIGNMENTS

There are seven course requirements. Due dates are noted on session schedule:

Course		
Requirement		
Draft Introductory Chapter		
Draft Literature Review Chapter		
Draft Theory Chapter		
Draft Methodology Chapter		
Final version of the dissertation prospectus		
Present the dissertation prospectus		
Serve as a primary reviewer (along with instructor) of one colleague's chapter during sessions II,		
III. and IV		

ORGANIZATION OF THE COURSE

The course will meet 5 times for about 3 hours. The first session is an overview, including a review of concept papers that students will have prepared in advance. After that, each class will include review of students' drafts of prospectus chapters, as well as plans for the next chapters. The final class enables students to do a practice defense of their prospectus.

ROLE OF THE STUDENT AND INSTRUCTOR

See University Statement of Obligation of Students and Faculty Members of the teaching - learning process

http://www.bulletins.wayne.edu/fib/fib2d.html

POLICIES FOR THIS COURSE

Attendance policy

Students are expected to arrive for class on time and stay until the end of the class session. Students are expected to be prepared at class time for review of their own work and of others' work. Drafts should be sent to the professor and peer reviewer by the dates listed on the syllabus.

In order to be courteous to classmates, we ask that cell phones use be restricted to vibrations no rings. Please limit beeper use to emergencies only. Please use your laptop only to take notes during class.

Electronic communication policy: The professor may take up to 48 hours to return an email.

<u>Inclement weather:</u> Please check with the professor and the Blackboard site in case of weather that might require cancellation of class.

For further details, see the University Statement of Obligations of Students and Faculty Members of the teaching-learning process.

Please run all chapter drafts through SafeAssign on our class BB site. **Run them drafts** so your prospectus and dissertation do not appear to be plagiarizing your draft. The Graduate School requires that all final dissertations must be checked against SafeAssign.

VII. RESOURCES FOR ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE

1. Instructor and Dissertation Chair

Consult with the instructor or dissertation chair about any aspect of your research or the seminar during scheduled consultation hours, via email or by appointment.

2. The School of Social Work

The School provides support and assistance to students who, for a variety of reasons, may be experiencing academic problems with writing, statistics, and/or research. Such students should speak with the Ph.D. Director to arrange for special support services.

3. Wayne State University

Research Design and Analysis Consulting

This unit (part of Psychology Department) provides assistance with the design of research projects and the statistical analysis of data. The service is available free of charge to Wayne State University faculty, staff, post-doctoral students, and graduate students. This service is not designed as a substitute for formal coursework on topics in research design and statistical analysis or for mentoring of graduate students by their thesis or dissertation advisors, and our consultants do not function as tutors or supplemental instructors for individuals enrolled in courses. Rather, the service is designed to augment a researcher's own education and training in research design and statistical analysis. We can suggest methodological and analytical approaches and provide guidance for addressing issues that arise in the research process. In addition, we can provide assistance in the use of SPSS, SAS, and LISREL. The service is staffed by and housed in the Department of Psychology. Consultation is available by appointment. Consult your faculty mentor before contacting this service for assistance. The service is staffed by and housed in the Department of Psychology. The office is located at 5057 Woodward Ave, Room 8402.3. Consultation is available by appointment. To schedule an appointment, contact the service by telephone at (313) 577-9992 or e-mail at RDAUnit@wayne.edu. For general inquries, Dr. Sebastiano Fisicaro, the director of the unit, can be reached at (313) 577-2812 or fisicaro@wayne.edu.

Writing Skills

The Graduate School supports a writing tutor for graduate writing projects (see Graduate School website) and a writing website

(www.gradschool.wayne.edu/GradWriting/index.htm)

This Graduate Writing Resources webpage is designed to help graduate students in the process of writing dissertations, articles, theses, grant proposals, and prospectuses. The site also provides links to resources that will assist with citations, grammar and punctuation, style, and ways to avoid plagiarism.

Dissertation Funding

Download materials available from the PhD Office in the Graduate School relative to internal dissertation research grants

(http://www.gradschool.wayne.edu/funding/ThesisDissertationApplication.pdf) Grants and fellowships may be available in your specific field of research, so discuss these with your dissertation chair.

Institutional Review Board (formerly HIC)

Contact the School's Research Coordinator, Neva Nahan at 577-4498 to arrange for a consultation as you prepare your IRB Protocol. She should review all protocols before you submit them to the IRB.

Counseling and Supportive Services

If you are feeling overwhelmed, consider talking to me or call WSU's Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS), 5221 Gullen Mall, Room 552 Student Center Building. Phone: 313-577-3398. Website: http://www.caps.wayne.edu/.

Other Resources

You may find the following online resources to be of support while engaged in the dissertation process:

Discussion and Support for Dissertation Writers (http://www.phinished.org/). Association for Support of Graduate Students (http://www.asgs.org/).

Lærd Dissertation http://dissertation.laerd.com/

WSU STUDENT RESOURCES

Students with disabilities http://studentdisability.wayne.edu/rights.php.

Academic integrity and student code of conduct http://www.doso.wayne.edu

Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) at Wayne State: http://www.caps.wayne.edu/

Course Learning Units

Written assignments should be emailed to instructor and other class members by the dates listed below. Blackboard should enable you to email everything to all of us at one time.

Reading for each session should be completed before that session.

Unit	Content	Assignment
	Session L. Sept. 13	Assignment Due: 2 days before class
	Introduction to Course and	session I.
	Dissertation Process September 13	Prepare 2 page draft concept paper that includes: purpose, research questions,
	Topics:	and possible hypotheses, if applicable.
	Overview of the class and the	Email to instructor and fellow students
	dissertation process	2 days before class session.
	Selection of peer review partners	
	Structure, composition and	Review each other's concept papers (all
	function of the dissertation	of them) in order to provide helpful
	committee	suggestions.
	Choosing dissertation topics and research questions	Readings:
	Finding dissertation support	Chapters from Roberts book:
	Evaluating sample research proposal or prospectus	1.Do You Have What It Takes? pp.2-
	Giving constructive and facilitative	17
	feedback	2. What Exactly Is a Dissertation?
	Writing the introductory chapter	pp.18-30
	Outline of the introductory chapter	4.Choosing a Dissertation Topic
	(background of problem,	pp.46-52

	purpose/aims, research questions, statement of relevance)	5. Creating Your Dissertation Team pp.53-62 6. Dissertation Support Groups pp.63-66 7. Organizing Yourself 67-74 Lyons, P. & Doueck, H. J. (2010). The dissertation: From beginning to end. NY: Oxford University Press. pp.12-52 (on Blackboard) Giving Facilitative Feedback (on Blackboard)
II	Session II. October 4 Topics: Progress update and discussion of students' drafts Outlining the literature review	Assignments for Session II: Draft introductory chapter due 4 days before class session II. Email to instructor and fellow students
	Organizational the extant literature Evaluating the extant literature	Serve as a primary reviewer (along with instructor) of designated colleague's chapter
	Developing a critical review of the extant literature	Readings: Roberts book 8. Using the Internet and Technology to Conduct Research, pp. 76-84 9. Reviewing the Literature, pp. 85-110 10. Mastering the Academic Style, pp.111-121 11. Writing the Introduction Chapter, pp. 123-140 Patten, M. L. (2010). Proposing empirical research: A guide to the fundamentals. Glendale, CA: Pyrczak. Topic 21 (Considering the history of a topic) pp. 49-50 (on Blackboard) Lyons, P. & Doueck, H. J. (2010). The literature review. The dissertation: From beginning to end. NY: Oxford University Press. pp.55-68 (on Blackboard)

III Session III. October 22

Progress update and discussion of students' drafts

- Theoretical frameworks
- Testing theories
- Using grounded theory
- Conceptualizing your research
- Outlining the theory chapter

Evaluate sample dissertations (first three chapters). Look online for dissertations that are somewhat related to your topic. Note how they organize their literature review, their attention to theory, and their discussion of their methodology.

Outline of literature review chapter due 2 weeks before class session III

<u>Draft literature review chapter</u> due 4 days before class session III. Email to instructor and fellow students

Serve as a primary reviewer (along with instructor) of designated colleague's chapter

Readings:

Patten, M. L. (2010). *Proposing empirical research: A guide to the fundamentals*. Glendale, CA: Pyrczak. Topic 10 (theory) pp. 23-24 (on Blackboard)

Lyons, P. & Doueck, H. J. (2010). Theory. *The dissertation: From beginning to end.* NY: Oxford University Press. pp.68-79 (on Blackboard)

Jaccard, J. & Jacoby, J. (2012) Theory construction and model building skills: A practical guide for social scientists. NY: Guilford Press. Ch 2. The nature of understanding, (pp.6-21).

Jaccard, J. & Jacoby, J. (2012) Theory construction and model building skills: A practical guide for social scientists. NY: Guilford Press. Ch 3. Science as an approach to understanding, (pp.22-36).

IV	Session IV November 15	- Assignments for Session
	Progress update and discussion of students' drafts Research questions (and hypotheses if relevant) Conceptualization (and measurement if relevant) Study population and sampling design Research design Data collection protocol Data analysis procedures and (data measures if relevant) Limitations of the proposed research Human subjects protocol Timeline Abstract of proposal	Outline of Theory Chapter due 2 weeks before class session III Draft of Theory Chapter due 4 days before class session IV. Email to instructor and fellow students Serve as a primary reviewer (along with instructor) of designated colleague's chapter
	Abstract of proposal	Roberts book: Ch. 3 What are Ethical Considerations in Research?, pp.31-44 Ch. 12. Selecting and Describing the Methodology pp.141-165 Ch.14. Analyzing and Presenting the Results, pp.171-176 Ch. 16. The Oral Defense: D-Day, pp.184-191
		Peters, R. L. (1997). Getting what you came for: The smart student's guide to earning an M.A. or a Ph.D. Revised Ed. NY: Farrar, Straus & Giroux. Pp.236-265. On Blackboard.
V	Session V December 13 Mock prospectus defenses Facilitative feedback and peer review	Final draft of dissertation prospectus due 12/5/2011. Email to instructor and fellow students 12/5/2011 Prepare mock prospectus defense for presentation on December 13

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Dissertation Writing

- Becker, H. S. (2007). Writing for social scientists: How to start and finish your thesis, book or Article. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Bolker, J. (1998). Writing your dissertation in fifteen minutes a day: A guide to starting, revising, and finishing your doctoral dissertation. New York: Owl Books.
- Bause, R.S. (2000). <u>Writing your doctoral dissertation: Invisible rules for success</u>. New York: Falmer Press,. Available electronically from WSU library.
- Cone, J. D., & Foster, S.L. (2006). *Dissertations and theses from start to finish: Psychology and related fields,* 2nd *ed.* Washington DC: APA Books.
- Davis, G. B. (1997) Writing the doctoral dissertation: A systematic approach. New York: Barrons Educational Series.
- Garratt, D. (2003) My qualitative dissertation journey: Researching against the rules. New Jersey: Hampton Press.
- Glatthorn, A. A. (2005). *Writing the winning dissertation: A step-by-step guide.2nd ed.* Thousand Oaks CA: Corwin Press.
- Heppner, P., &. Heppner, M. (2004). Writing and publishing your thesis, dissertation, and research: A guide for students in the helping professions. Belmont, CA: Thompson/Brooks/Cole.
- Krathwohl, D.R., & Smith, N. (2005). How to prepare a dissertation proposal: Suggestions for students in education and the social and behavioral sciences. Syracuse NY: Syracuse University Press.
- Locke, L. F. (2007). *Proposals that work: A guide for planning dissertations and grant proposals.* Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Mauch, J. E., & Park, N. (2003). Guide to the successful thesis and dissertation: A handbook for students and faculty. Monticello NY: Marcel Dekker Inc.
- Ogden, E. H. (2007). Completing your doctoral dissertation or master's thesis in two semesters or Less, 5th ed. Landham MD: Rowman and Littlefield Publishers Inc.
- Patten, M. L. (2010). *Proposing empirical research: A guide to the fundamentals.* Glendale, CA: Pyrczak.
- Pan, M. L. (2004). *Preparing literature reviews: Qualitative and quantitative approaches.* Los Angeles, CA: Pyrczak.
- Peters, R. (1997). *Getting what you came for: The smart student's guide to earning a MA or PhD. Revised ed.* New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

- Pyrczak, F., & Bruce, R. R. (2005). *Writing empirical research reports: A basic guide for students*. 5th ed. Los Angeles, CA: Pyrczak.
- Reynolds, P. D. (1971). Primer in theory construction. Boston, MA: Allyn& Bacon.
- Rudestam, K. E., & Newton, R. R. (2007). Surviving your dissertation: A comprehensive guide to content and process, 3rd ed. Newbury Park CA: Sage Publications.
- Peg Boyle Single, P. B. (2010). <u>Demystifying dissertation writing: A streamlined process</u> <u>from choice of topic to final text</u>. Sterling, VA: Stylus Publishing.

Constructing, Evaluating and Testing Theory

- Browne, M. N., & Keeley, S. M. (2001). Asking the right questions: A guide to critical thinking
 - (4th Ed.) (pp. 147-164). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall. (See Chapter 10: Are there rival hypotheses?).
- Damer, T. E. (2005). *Attacking faulty reasoning* (5th Ed.) (pp. 4-10). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth. (See Chapter 1: A code of intellectual conduct).
- Davis, J. A. (1985). The logic of causal order. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Pedhazur, E., & Pedhazur Schmelkin, L. (1991). *Measurement, design, and analysis: An integrated approach* (pp. 180-210). Hillsadale, NJ: Erlbaum. (See Chapter 9: Theories, problems and hypotheses).
- Stinchcombe, A. L. (1987). *Constructing social theories*. Chicago IL: University of Chicago Press.

Academic Writing: Social Work

Families in Society Writing Series

- Fischer, R. (2000). Reading and reviewing research: Tips for the informed consumer. *Families in Society, 81,* 211-213.
- Goldstein, H. (1998). On writing for publication. Families in Society, 79(5), 451-454.
- Gray, M. (1999). Writing for a journal: Blood, sweat, and tears. *Families in Society, 80,* 305-307.
- Heineman, P. M. (2000). In the company of writers. Families in Society, 81,102-103.
- Malekoff, A. (1999). A practitioner's journey to becoming a writer. *Families in Society,* 80, 190-194.

- Sherman, E. (1999). Research and practice do not make perfect . . . writing. *Families in Society*, *80*, 91-93.
- Tasker, M. (1999). "Tell me about it": The agony and ecstasy of writing for publication. *Families in Society, 80,* 649-651.

Other

- Glicken, M. D. (2007). A guide to writing for human service professionals. NY: Jason Aronson.
- Kirk, S.A., & Berger, R. M. (1993). Improving research writing. *Social Work Research and Abstracts*, *29*, 3-4.
- Szuchman, L. T., & Thomlison, B. (2008). *Writing with style: APA style for social work.* 3rd ed. Belmont, CA: Brook/Cole-Thomson Learning.
- Thyer, B.A.(2002). How to write up a social work outcome study for publication. *Journal of Social Work Research and Evaluation*, 3, 215-224.

Academic Writing: General

- Cuba, L. J. (2002). A short guide to writing about social science (4th ed.). New York: Longman.
- Franzosi, R. (2004). From words to numbers: Narrative, data, and social science. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Germano, W. (2008). *Getting it published: A guide for scholars and anyone else serious about* serious books. 2nd ed. Chicago IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Henson, K. T. (1999). Writing for professional publication. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Luey, B. (2002). *Handbook for academic writers* (4th ed.). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Lyon, E. (2002). Nonfiction book proposals anybody can write: How to get a contract and advance before writing your book. New York: Perigee.
- Matkin, R. E., & Rigger, T. F. (1991). *Persist and publish: Helpful hints for academic writing and publishing.* Boulder CO: University Press of Colorado.
- Mee, C. L. (2001). 10 Lessons on writing for publication. Journal of Infusion Nursing, 26, 110-113.
- Morris, L. L., Fitz-gibbon, C.T., & Freeman, M.E. (1987). *How to communicate evaluation findings*. 2nd ed. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Nicol, A., & Pexman, P. M. (2003). Displaying your findings: A practical guide for creating

- *figures, posters, and presentations.* Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Northey, M., Tepperman, L., & Mackenzie Russell, J. (2007). *Making sense: A student's guide to research and writing in psychology and the life sciences (4th ed.).* London: Oxford University Press.
- O'Connor, M. (1991). Writing successfully in science. New York: Chapman & Hall.
- Pyrczak, F. & Bruce, R.R. (2005). Writing empirical research reports: A basic guide for students of the social and behavioral sciences. (5th ed.). Los Angeles, CA: Pyrczak Publishing.
- Rankin, E. (2001). The work of writing: Insights and strategies for academic and professionals. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Strunk, W., & White, E. B., (2007). The elements of style (5th ed.). NY: MacMillan.
- Thyer, B. (1994). Successful publishing in scholarly journals: survival skills for scholars. Newbury Park CA: Sage Publications.
- Williams, J. M. (1999). *Style: Ten lessons in clarity and grace*, (6th ed.). Reading, MA: Addison Wesley.
- Woods, P. (1999). Successful writing for qualitative researchers. Florence, KY: Routledge.

Publication Process

- Barker, K. L., & Thyer, B.A. (2005). An empirical evaluation of the editorial practices of social work journals: Voices of authors published in 2000. *Journal of Social Service Research* 32, 17-31.
- Carroll-Johnson, R. M. (2001). Submitting a manuscript for review. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing*, *5*, 13-16.
- Epstein, W. M. (2004). Confirmational response bias and quality of editorial processes among American social work journals. *Research on Social Work Practice*, *14*, 450-458.
- Green, R. G., Baskind, F. R., & Bellin, M. H. (2002). Results of the doctoral faculty publication project: Journal article productivity and its correlates in the 1990s. *Journal of Social Work Education*, *38*, 135-152.
- Horowitz, I. L. (1991). *Communicating ideas: The politics of scholarly publishing*. 2nd ed. New Brunswick NJ: Transaction Books.
- Jenson, J. (2005). Structural factors and the quality of publication in social work journals. *Social Work Research*, *29*, 67-71.

- King, C. R. (2001). Ethical issues in writing and publishing. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing*, *5*, 19-23.
- Kirk, S., & Frank, T. (1997). Agreeing to disagree: A study of manuscript reviews. *Social Work Research*, *21*, 121-126.
- Leventhal, J. (2006). Improving the quality of *Child Abuse & Neglect:* The peer review process does matter. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, *30*, 579-581.
- Leventhal, J. (2006). Writing for *Child Abuse & Neglect*: Suggestions for success, *Child Abuse and Neglect*, *30*, 455-459.
- Lindsey, D. (1978). Scientific publication system in social science: A study of the operation of leading professional journals in psychology, sociology, and social work. NJ: Jossey-Bass.
- McGinty, S. (1994). *Editors as gatekeepers: Getting published in the social sciences*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Mendelsohn, H. (1997). *An author's guide to social work journals, 4th ed.*. Washington DC: NASW Press.
- Raines, J. C. & Massat, C. R. (2004). Getting published: A guide for the aspiring practitioner. *School Social Work Journal*, 29, 1-17.
- Schilling, R., Baer, J.C., Barth, R., Fraser, M. et al. (2005). Peer review and publication standards in social work journals: The Miami statement. *Social Work Research*, 29, 119-121.
- Sigler, B. (2001). Signing on the dotted line. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing*, *5*, 17-18.
- Thyer, B. A., & Myers, L. L. (2003). An empirical evaluation of the editorial practices of social work journals. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 39,125-140.
- Valentine, D. P. (2005). The scholarly journal review process: A call for transparency. *Journal of Social Work Education, 41*, 3-10.
- Williams, J. W. (2001) Journals of the century in social work. The *Serials Librarian*, 39, (3), 69-79.
- Willinsky, J. (1999). *Technologies of knowing [electronic resource]: A proposal for the human sciences*. Boston, MA: Beacon.
- Publication Process (Online Resources)
- List of Journals in the Human Services Field:

http://www3.uta.edu/sswtech/publish/journals/

Rowland, F. (undated). *Report to the JISC Scholarly Communications Group.* Peer Review Process: http://www.alpsp.org/htp_peer.htm

Peer Review in the Internet Age: www.public.iastate.edu/~gerrymck/DraftFive.doc

Copyright: http://www.utsystem.edu/OGC/IntellectualProperty/cprtindx.htm

Uniform Requirements for Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals: Writing and Editing for Biomedical Publication: http://www.icmje.org/#editor

(2000). *Current practice in peer review.* Academy of Learned Societies for the Social Sciences. http://www.alpsp.org/publications/pub4.htm

Authorship

- Apgar, D. H., & Congress, E. (2005). Authorship credit: A national study of social work educators' beliefs. *Journal of Social Work Education*, *41*, 101-112.
- Baretta-Herman, A.L. & Garrett, K.T. (2000). Faculty-student collaboration: Issues and recommendations. *Advances in Social Work, 1,* 148-159.
- Gibelman, M., & Gelman, S. R. (1999). "Who's the author:" Ethical issues in publishing. *Arete*, 23, 77-88.

Ranking of Journals

Sellers, S., Mathiesen, S. G., Perry, R., & Smith, T. (2004). Evaluation of social work journal quality: Citation versus reputation approaches. *Journal of Social Work Education*, 40, 143-160.

Getting Research Funding

Hall, M. S., & Hewlett, S. (2011). *Getting funded: A complete guide to writing grant proposals*. 3rd Ed. Portland, OR: Continuing Education Press, Portland State University.